

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,698	12/16/2003	Sang-Chul Lee	6192.0072.C1	3544
7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
McGuire Woods LLP			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
1750 Tysons Blvd., Suite 1800 McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/735,698	LEE, SANG-CHUL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 13-20 and 22-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-20 and 22-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	≣xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the control of	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Infom	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 13, 18, 26 and new claims 31 based on the Response filed on 2/25/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, this is Final action.

Claim 21 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 13-20 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueda et al. (US5838412).

In regard to claims 13, 18-19, 26, Ueda et al. teach (Figs. 26 and 28) a display device comprising:

- a bottom casing MCA comprising
 - o a mold frame including a series of optical sheets (PRS/SPS/GLB/RFS);
 - o a bottom chassis assembled/integrated to said mold frame;
- a top chassis SHD assembled to said mold frame;

Application/Control Number: 10/735,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

a display panel positioned between said bottom chassis and said top chassis;

a printed circuit board (FPC2) connected to said display panel;

a grounding protrusion (HS and CHD as Fig. 26 shown, SLD2 as Fig. 28 shown)
 formed on surface of said printed circuit board FPC2.

Wherein

 the ground protrusion is protruded higher than any other components formed on the surface.

Claims 14, 27-30:

said mold frame accommodates a lamp assembly LP/GLB and a reflector RFS.

Claim 15:

• said display panel is positioned onto said optical sheets PRS/SPS.

Claims 16 and 25:

 the FPC includes the PCB is connected to said display panel via a tape carrier package (connection means JN1), and fixed to said bottom chassis by a fixing means with HS.

Claim 17:

 the grounding protrusion <u>inherently</u> are formed on said PCB where a signal transmission pattern is not formed.

Claim 20:

 the FPC further inherently comprises a driving integrated circuit (IC) and a signal transmission pattern.

Claim 22:

Application/Control Number: 10/735,698

Art Unit: 2871

the FPC is attached on the chassis via HS.

Claim 25:

• the ground protrusion (HS) is in direct contact with the chassis SHD via HS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. (US5838400A) as applied to claims 13-21 and 24-29 in view of Kiyono et al. (US4705959A)

Ueda et al. fail to disclose the PCB having a screw hole and attached to the chassis by a screw and the screw hole formed on a comer of the PCB.

Kiyono et al. teach (Fig. 1) the PCB 22 having a screw hole 32 and attached to the chassis (base 23) by a screw 33 and the screw hole formed on a comer of the PCB for securing the PCB to the casing 24 (col.3 line 30-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Ueda disclosed with the PCB 22 having a screw hole 32 and attached to the chassis (base 23) by a screw 33 and the screw hole formed on a comer of the PCB for securing the PCB to the casing as taught by Kiyono et al. (col.3 line 30-31).

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,698

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6